2025 Non-Detained Master Calendar Hearings- Immigration Court Observation

1. Date: 2. Obse	rver (full name):
3. Shift time: 8:30-10:30 10:30-12:30 1:30-3	:00 3:00-4:30 Check if not allowed in at shift start time:
4. Judge: Carr Ivany Mazzie Miller Sarc	elli Wood Zaske Other:
5. DHS Attorney:	
6. Hearings Tallies (R=Respondent, I J= Judge)	
Total hearings:	1 st hearing is more than one year past entry into US:
Hearings R in person:	
Hearings R remote (video/phone):	R already filed asylum app:
Hearings R pro se:	If filed asylum, pleadings done today:
Hearings R represented:	Pleadings done, no relief filed yet:
Respondents have moved:	
Language:	
English Speakers:	Specify Languages:
Spanish Speakers:	
Other Languages:	Case not heard, no interpreter:
Tally outcomes of hearings conducted:	
Master calendar reset:	Removal order:
Merit hearing/trial queue next:	Voluntary Departure granted:
Termination/Dismissal:	Change of Venue:
Admin closure:	No shows reset:
Status Docket:	No-shows In absentia removal:
 Comment on any hearing tallies above: 	
	nain reset date):
10. If any respondents live outside MN, please list the st	ates here:
ADVISALS	
For the following questions use a 5 point scale:	1= strongly disagree, 3= neutral, 5= strongly agree
11. For pro se respondents at 1 st hearing, I J gave a grou	o advisal 1 2 3 4 5 NA
12. For pro se respondents at 1 st hearing, I J warned abo	ut attorney /notario fraud 1 2 3 4 5 NA
13. I J stated attorneys are expensive, might not be wo	th it 1 2 3 4 5 NA
14. I J stated they wouldn't discuss work authorization	1 2 3 4 5 NA
15. For all respondents I J verified current address	1 2 3 4 5 NA

				1.1.2025			
16. For pro se respondents IJ explained R must file change of address w/in 5 days	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
17. For pro se respondents IJ explained "failure to appear" results in deportation order			3	4	5	NA	
18. Judge offered WebEx appearance to people who live far from court			3	4	5	NA	
19. I J discussed change of venue if R lives outside MN, SD, or ND			3	4	5	NA	
ASYLUM APPLICATIONS & FILINGS							
For following questions use a 5 point scale: 1= strongly disagree, 3= neutral, 5= strongly a	gree	e					
20. IJ offered pro se respondents an asylum application at first hearing	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
21. IJ gave asylum application to respondents upon request			3	4	5	NA	
22. For pro se respondents at 1 st hearing I J explained 1 year filing deadline for asylum			3	4	5	NA	
23. For pro se respondents at 1 st hearing I J gave specific filing date or noted entry date	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
24. For pro se respondents at continued hearing, IJ reiterated asylum filing deadline	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
25. IJ explained can add evidence and amend filed asylum application until final hearing			3	4	5	NA	
26. IJ explained if asylum app filed > 1 yr after entry, can be considered for Withholding (WOR)	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
27. If asylum application has been filed, IJ took pleadings and offered merit hearing next			3	4	5	NA	
28. If R is pro se today, IJ offered time to find atty even if asylum application already filed			3	4	5	NA	
OTHER RELIEF APPLICATIONS							
29. Mark which applications for relief other than asylum were discussed or offered to responden	nts:		Non	е			
Adjustment of status Cancellation of removal Cuban Adj Act SIJS Terminatior			TF	TPS		VAWA	
Comment:							
30. New procedures, policies, or arguments noted:							
31. Comments about Judge:							
32. Comments on DHS attorney:							
33. Comments about respondents:							
34. Did anyone ask about asylum clock? Yes No Unsure Comment:							
35. Additional impressions, questions, observations, quotes:							